1 2	CAROL A. SOBEL SBN 84483 MONIQUE A. ALARCON SBN 311650 725 Arizona Avenue, Suite 300 Santa Monica, CA 90401			
3	t. 310.393.3055 e. carolsobel@aol.com e.monique.alarcon8@gmail.com			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Rex Schellenberg			
6 7 8 9	MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney JAMES P. CLARK, Chief Deputy City Attorney GABRIEL DERMER, Managing Assistant City Attorney (SBN 229424) FELIX LEBRON, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 232984) Business and Complex Litigation Division 200 North Main Street, Room 675 Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 978-7559 Facsimile: (213) 978-7011			
11	Attorneys for Defendant the City of Los Angeles			
12 13	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT		
14	FOR THE CENTRAL D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15				
16 17	REX SCHELLENBERG, an individual	CASE NO.: 2:18-cv-07670-CAS-PLA [Assigned to the Hon. Christina A.		
18	Plaintiff,	Snyder]		
19 20 21	v. THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal entity, DOES 1-10,	JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT RE EARLY MEETING OF COUNSEL (F.R.Civ.P. 26(f); L.R. 26-1)		
22	Defendant.	Date: June 3, 2019 Time: 11:00 a.m.		
24		Ctrm: 8B Judge: Hon. Christina A. Snyder		
25 26		Action filed: Sept. 3, 2018 Conference Held: May 15, 2019		
27		Conference field. Way 13, 2017		

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("F.R.Civ.P.") 26(f); Central District Local Rule ("L.R.") 26-1, and this Court's Civil Standing Order dated February 26, 2019 (Dkt. No. 15), Plaintiff Rex Schellenberg ("Plaintiff" or "Schellenberg") and Defendant City of Los Angeles ("Defendant" or "City") submit this Joint Rule 26(f) Report regarding Early Meeting of Counsel.

### I. RULE 26 DISCOVERY PLAN

The parties submit the following discovery plan pursuant to Rule 26(f):

### A. Initial Disclosures

The parties agree that no changes should be made to the form or requirement for disclosures under F.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1). The parties shall serve their respective initial disclosures on or before May 27, 2019.

### **B.** Discovery

The parties propose the following regarding discovery:

# 1. <u>Discovery Topics and Scope</u>

Plaintiff contemplates that he will need discovery on the following topics: The City's, including the Bureau of Sanitation and LAPD, records, incident reports, files, documents, pictures and videos regarding the alleged incidents, and the seizure, storage, destruction or return of Plaintiff's personal property. In addition, the City's policies, practices, and customs relating to the seizure, storage, return and/or destruction of personal property, including under Los Angeles Municipal Code ("LAMC") § 56.11 and the City's standard operating protocols for LAMC § 56.11

Defendant contemplates that the City will need discovery on the following topics: Plaintiff's alleged incidents and any documents, pictures, or videos relating to the incidents, Plaintiff's medical records or other evidence establishing Plaintiff's alleged disability or disabilities, Plaintiff's eligibility for Section 8, Plaintiff's storage unit, and the basis for and calculation of Plaintiff's alleged damages.

Following the parties' service of their respective initial disclosures, the parties have agreed to temporarily refrain propounding subsequent discovery in order to pursue

1

3

5

6

4

7

8

9 10

1112

1314

1516

17 18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

2728

settlement discussions and conserve party resources while the parties attempt to resolve and/or settle the disputed claims or, alternatively, to narrow the number of disputed claims or incidents.

The parties otherwise agree that any discovery need not be completed in phases.

### 2. <u>Discovery Cut-Off and Completion Dates</u>

The parties' proposed discovery cut-off date is March 6, 2020. The parties' proposed cutoff for serving supplements under Rule 26(e) and pretrial disclosures under Rule 26(a)(3) is March 30, 2020.

## C. Disclosure or Discovery of Electronically-Stored Information

The parties have agreed to accept service pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5(b)(2)(F) via email addressed to counsel of record for all discovery requests and responses and to accept electronic production of documents in portable document format (PDF). The parties have also agreed to service of videos in either DVD format or electronically via MP4 files.

## D. Procedures for Resolving Disputes Regarding Privilege Claims

The parties agree that the procedures under Rule 26(b)(5) shall apply to claims of privilege and protection of trial-preparation materials. The parties have further agreed to include a provision addressing the process for raising claims relating to privileged materials and/or the claw-back of inadvertently produced privileged material in the parties' proposed stipulated protective order, addressed in Section I.F below.

## **E. Discovery Limitations**

The parties do not propose any changes to the discovery limitations imposed under the F.R.Civ.P. or Local Rules.

# F. Other Orders under Rule 26(c) or Rule 16(b)

The parties have agreed to submit a stipulated protective order under Rule 26(c) to address, among other discovery, the production and/or use of discovery and evidence regarding Plaintiff's medical records and history, or for City records or personnel files expressly protected under California Penal Code § 832.7, California Evidence Code §§

1 | 1 | w 3 | s 4 | a 5 | iii

6 7

8 9

1011

12

14

15

13

1617

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

1043, 1047, and/or under the federal privilege law. The protective order will comply with the Court's rules for issuing a protective order. In addition, the parties' proposed stipulated protective order will include a process for raising claims regarding the assertion of privilege or for the claw back of inadvertently produced privileged information pursuant to Rule 26(b)(5). The parties request that Court approve the parties' stipulated protective order after it is filed.

#### II. THE MATTER IS NOT COMPLEX

The parties agree that this case is not complex and does not require the use or adoption of any of the procedures in the Manual for Complex Litigation.

## III. MOTION SCHEDULE

The parties' proposed motion cut-off date is March 23, 2020.

Plaintiff and Defendant each contemplate filing motions for summary judgment or partial summary judgment before the motion cut-off date.

### IV. ADR

The parties have conducted preliminary discussions regarding the resolution and/or narrowing of the disputed claims in the action. The parties have agreed to conduct further discussions to explore options for early settlement and/or resolution of the entire action, or narrowing of the disputed claims, following the parties' service of their respective initial disclosures.

If the parties' informal settlement discussions reach an impasse, the parties have agreed to use ADR Procedure No. 3, private mediation. The parties' proposed ADR completion date is January 13, 2020.

## V. TRIAL ESTIMATE

Plaintiff and Defendant have each requested a trial by jury. The parties estimate that a trial should take no more than five days or 40 hours to complete.

## VI. ADDITIONAL PARTIES

The parties do not anticipate that any additional parties will be added to this case. The parties' proposed last day to amend pleadings or join parties is November 4, 2019.

### VII. EXPERT WITNESSES

///

The parties' proposed deadline for serving expert disclosures under Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(i) is January 13, 2020. The proposed deadline to serve any expert rebuttal reports under Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) is February 10, 2020. The parties request that the expert disclosure dates be set with sufficient time to conduct expert discovery and/or depositions before the discovery cut-off date.

## VIII. JOINT PROPOSED SCHEDULE

The parties' joint proposed schedule for the Court's scheduling order is provided in the table below.

Event	Joint Proposal	
Trial (5-day/40-hour jury trial)	May 18, 2020	
Final Pretrial Conference	April 20, 2020	
Last Day to Lodge Pretrial Conference Order (L.R. 16-7.1)	April 9, 2020	
Last Day to File Memorandum of Contentions of Fact and	March 30, 2020	
Law (L.R. 16-4), Witness List (L.R. 16-5), and Joint		
Exhibit List (L.R. 16-6)		
Motion Cut-Off Date	March 23, 2020	
Pretrial Disclosures/Supplements (Rule 26(a)(3)(B) and	March 20, 2020	
Rule 26(e))		
Discovery Cut-off Date	March 9, 2020	
Expert Rebuttal Disclosures Date (Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii))	February 10, 2020	
ADR Completion Date – ADR Option 3 (L.R. 16-15.2)	January 13, 2020	
Expert Disclosures Date (Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(i))	January 13, 2020	
Last Day to Amend Pleadings or Join Parties	November 4, 2019	
Rule 26(a) Initial Disclosures	May 27, 2019	

	DATED: May 20, 2019	LAW OFFICE OF CAROL A. SOBEL	
1	DATED: Way 20, 2017	LAW OFFICE OF CAROLA. SOBEL	
2		By: /s/	
3		CAROL A. SOBEL	
4		Attorney for Plaintiff	
5		REX SCHELLENBERG	
6			
7			
9	DATED: May 20, 2019	MICHAEL N. FEUER, CITY ATTORNEY JAMES P. CLARK, Chief Deputy City Attorney	
10		GABRIEL S. DERMER, ASST. CITY ATTORNEY	
11		FELIX LEBRON, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY	
12		<b>T</b>	
13		By: /s/ FELIX LEBRON	
14		Deputy City Attorney	
15		Attorney for Defendant	
16		CITY OF LOS ANGELES	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	All parties concur in the form and content of this filing and have authorized the use of		
28	their electronic signatures for filing this document. (L.R. 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i))		
	5		
	JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT R	E EARLY MEETING OF COUNSEL (F.R.Civ.P. 26(f): L.R. 26-1)	